UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FO	RM	10	-K
----	----	----	----

☑ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

Commission file number 1-812

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES (Exact name of registrant as speci	
DELAWARE (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	06-0570975 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
One Financial Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut (Address of principal executive offices)	06103 (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including	area code (860) 728-7000
Securities registered pursuant to Sec	tion 12(b) of the Act:
Title of each class Common Stock (\$1 par value) (CUSIP 913017 10 9)	Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issu	er, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ⊠ No □
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports p	ursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes □ No ⊠
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for susuch reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the p	ch shorter period that the registrant was required to file past 90 days.
	Yes ⊠ No □
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electroni nteractive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule he preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was	405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Ite not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's kincorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to	om 405 of Regulation S-K (§232.405 of this chapter) is nowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated fismaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):	
Large accelerated filer ⊠	Accelerated filer □
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting comp	any) Smaller reporting company □
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as	defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND SHAREOWNERS OF UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, of cash flows and of changes in equity present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Corporation's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of

internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A corporation's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A corporation's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the corporation; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the corporation are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the corporation; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the corporation's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Hartford, Connecticut February 9, 2012

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In addition, we participate in several multiemployer arrangements that provide postretirement benefits other than pensions, with the National Elevator Industry Plan being the most significant. These arrangements generally provide medical and life benefits for eligible active employees and retirees and their dependents. Contributions to multiemployer plans that provide postretirement benefits other than pensions were \$10 million, \$10 million and \$11 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Stock-based Compensation. We have long-term incentive plans authorizing various types of market and performance based incentive awards that may be granted to officers and employees. Our Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) was initially approved on April 13, 2005 and amended in 2011 to increase the maximum number of shares available for award under the LTIP to 119 million shares. Following initial approval of the LTIP, we may not grant any new awards under previously existing equity compensation plans. As of December 31, 2011, approximately 56 million shares remain available for awards under the LTIP. The LTIP does not contain an annual award limit. We expect that the shares awarded on an annual basis will range from 1% to 1.5% of shares outstanding. The LTIP will expire after all shares have been awarded or April 30, 2017, whichever is sooner.

Under all long-term incentive plans, the exercise price of awards is set on the grant date and may not be less than the fair market value per share on that date. Generally, stock appreciation rights and stock options have a term of ten years and a minimum three-year vesting period. In the event of retirement, awards held for more than one year shall immediately become vested and exercisable. In addition, under the LTIP, awards with performance-based vesting generally have a minimum three-year vesting period and vest based on performance against pre-established metrics. In the event of retirement, awards held more than one year remain

eligible to vest. We have historically repurchased shares of our common stock in an amount at least equal to the number of shares issued under our equity compensation arrangements and will continue to evaluate this policy in conjunction with our overall share repurchase program.

We measure the cost of all share-based payments, including stock options, at fair value on the grant date and recognize this cost in the statement of operations. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, \$229 million, \$154 million and \$153 million, respectively, of compensation cost was recognized in operating results. The associated future income tax benefit recognized was \$75 million, \$47 million and \$49 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$226 million, \$386 million and \$342 million, respectively, with an associated tax benefit realized of \$101 million, \$139 million and \$95 million, respectively. In addition, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the associated tax benefit realized from the vesting of performance share units was \$19 million, \$20 million and \$33 million, respectively. Also, in accordance with the Compensation – Stock Compensation Topic of the FASB ASC, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, \$81 million, \$94 million and \$50 million, respectively, of certain tax benefits have been reported as operating cash outflows with corresponding cash inflows from financing activities.

At December 31, 2011, there was \$152 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested equity awards granted under long-term incentive plans. This cost is expected to be recognized ratably over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

A summary of the transactions under all long-term incentive plans for the year ended December 31, 2011 follows:

	STOCK	PTIONS	STOCK APPREC	STOCK APPRECIATION RIGHTS		PERFORMANCE SHARE UNITS		
(shares and units in thousands)	Shares	Average Price*	Shares	Average Price	Units	Average Price**	Incentive Shares / Units	
Outstanding at:								
December 31, 2010	27,337	\$ 44.82	31,220	\$ 64.72	3,024	\$ 65.96	807	
Granted	320	78.99	4,916	79.09	946	78.99	455	
Exercised/Earned	(6,551)	37.36	(1,249)	64.96	(746)	75.21	(116)	
Cancelled	(77)	53.78	(849)	68.30	(262)	71.40	(37)	
December 31, 2011	21,029	\$ 47.63	34,038	\$ 66.70	2,962	\$ 67.31	1,109	

- weighted-average exercise price
- ** weighted-average grant stock price

The weighted-average grant date fair value of stock options and stock appreciation rights granted during 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$20.26, \$17.86 and \$16.01, respectively. The weighted-average grant date fair value of performance share units, which vest upon achieving certain performance metrics, granted during 2011, 2010, and 2009 was \$87.65, \$78.73 and \$61.56, respectively. The total fair value of awards vested during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

was \$170 million, \$172 million and \$235 million, respectively.

The total intrinsic value (which is the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise price on the date of exercise) of stock options and stock appreciation rights exercised during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$336 million, \$446 million and \$296 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value (which is the stock price at vesting) of performance share units vested was \$59 million, \$62 million and \$100 million during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes information about equity awards outstanding that are vested and expected to vest and equity awards outstanding that are exercisable at December 31, 2011:

EQUITY AWARDS VESTED AND EXPECTED TO VEST				EQUIT	Y AWARDS T	HAT ARE EXER	RCISABLE		
(shares in thousands, aggregate intrinsic value in millions)	Awards		Average Price*	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Remaining Term**	Awards	Average Price*	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Remaining Term**
Stock Options/Stock Appreciation Rights	54,779	\$	59.01	\$ 771	5.0	37,362	\$ 55.03	\$ 675	3.6
Performance Share Units/Restricted Stock	3,065		_	224	1.3				

- weighted-average exercise price per share
- ** weighted-average contractual remaining term in years

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a binomial lattice model. The following table indicates the assumptions used in estimating fair value for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. Because lattice-based option models incorporate ranges of assumptions for inputs, those ranges are as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
Expected volatility	26% - 32%	24% - 28%	30% - 42%
Weighted-average volatility	26%	25%	30%
Expected term (in years)	7.5 - 8.0	7.4 - 7.9	7.4 - 7.9
Expected dividends	2.4%	2.7%	2.1%
Risk-free rate	0.1% - 3.5%	0.1% - 4.0%	0% - 2.5%

Expected volatilities are based on the returns of our stock, including implied volatilities from traded options on our stock for the binomial lattice model. We use historical data to estimate equity award exercise and employee termination behavior within the valuation model. Separate employee groups and equity award characteristics are considered separately for valuation purposes. The expected term represents an estimate of the period of time equity awards are expected to remain outstanding. The risk-free rate is based on the term structure of interest rates at the time of equity award grant.

NOTE 12: RESTRUCTURING COSTS

During 2011, we recorded net pre-tax restructuring costs totaling \$336 million for new and ongoing restructuring actions. We recorded charges in the segments as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	
Otis	\$ 73
Carrier	46
UTC Fire & Security	80
Pratt & Whitney	67
Hamilton Sundstrand	16
Sikorsky	53
Eliminations and other	1
Total	\$336

The net costs consist of \$180 million recorded in cost of sales, \$154 million in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$2 million in other income, net. As described below, these charges primarily relate to actions initiated during 2011 and 2010.

2011 Actions. During 2011, we initiated restructuring actions relating to ongoing cost reduction efforts, including workforce reductions and consolidation of field operations. We recorded net pre-tax restructuring costs totaling \$286 million for restructuring actions initiated in 2011, consisting of \$136 million in cost of sales, \$147 million in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$3 million in other income, net.

We expect the actions that were initiated in 2011 to result in net workforce reductions of approximately 5,000 hourly and salaried employees, the exiting of approximately 2 million net square feet of facilities and the disposal of assets associated with exited facilities. As of December 31, 2011, we have completed, with respect to the actions initiated in 2011, net workforce reductions of approximately 2,200 employees and 50,000 net square feet of facilities have been exited. We are targeting to complete in 2012 the majority of the remaining workforce and all facility related cost reduction actions initiated in 2011. No specific plans for significant other actions have been finalized at this time.