UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

_	Form	10-K	<u> </u>
(Mark one) [x] ANNUAL REPORT PU	RSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 1 SECURITIES EXCHA		
	For the Fiscal Year E		
[] TRANSITION REPORT	OF PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 O SECURITIES EXCHA	OR 15(d) OF THE	
	For the transition	period from to	
	Commission F	ile No. 1-434	
-	THE PROCTER & G. One Procter & Gamble Plaz Telephone (51 IRS Employer Identific	za, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 13) 983-1100 ation No. 31-0411980	
•	Securities registered pursuant	to Section 12(b) of the Act:	
	Title of each class	Name of each exchange on w	vhich registered
	tock, without Par Value rant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as d	New York Stock Exchange, NYS defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act	
Indicate by check mark if the regist	rant is not required to file reports pursuan	nt to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act.	Yes □ No ☑
1934 during the preceding 12 month	registrant (1) has filed all reports require as egistrant was required to file such reports	•	_
required to be submitted and posted	registrant has submitted electronically ar pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (s required to submit and post such files).	(§232.405 of this chapter) during the pre-	
S-K is not contained herein, and wi	e of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 Il not be contained, to the best of registrar tatements incorporated by reference in Pa is Form 10-K.	nt's knowledge,	
defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchar	registrant is a large accelerated filer, an ange Act). rated filer □ Non-accelerated filer □	accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer	or a smaller reporting company (as
Indicate by check mark whether the	registrant is a shell company (as defined	in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).	Yes □ No ☑
The aggregate market value of the v	voting stock held by non-affiliates amount	ted to \$181 billion on December 31, 201	10.

There were 2,747,768,202 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of July 31,2011.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting of The Procter & Gamble Company (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

Strong internal controls is an objective that is reinforced through our *Worldwide Business Conduct Manual*, which sets forth our commitment to conduct business with integrity, and within both the letter and the spirit of the law. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes a Control Self-Assessment Program that is conducted annually by substantially all areas of the Company and is audited by the internal audit function. Management takes the appropriate action to correct any identified control deficiencies. Because of its inherent limitations, any system of internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed, may not prevent or detect misstatements due to the possibility that a control can be circumvented or overridden or that misstatements due to error or fraud may occur that are not detected. Also, because of changes in conditions, internal control effectiveness may vary over time.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011, using criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011, based on these criteria.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Robert A. McDonald

Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer

Rabata Marald

Jon R. Moeller

Chief Financial Officer

August 10, 2011

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Deloitte.

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

The Procter & Gamble Company

We have audited the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of The Procter & Gamble Company and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the related Consolidated Statements of Earnings, Shareholders' Equity, and Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such Consolidated Financial Statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the results of its operations and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated August 10, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Selotte & Toache LLP

Cincinnati, Ohio

August 10, 2011

NOTE 6

EARNINGS PER SHARE

Net earnings less preferred dividends (net of related tax benefits) are divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year to calculate basic net earnings per common share. Diluted net earnings per common share are calculated to give effect to stock options and other stock-based awards (see Note 7) and assume conversion of preferred stock (see Note 8).

Net earnings and common shares used to calculate basic and diluted net earnings per share were as follows:

Years ended June 30	2011	2010		2009
NET EARNINGS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	\$ 11,797	\$ 10,946	\$	10,680
Preferred dividends, net of tax benefit	(233)	(219)		(192)
NET EARNINGS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	 11,564	 10,727		10,488
Preferred dividends, net of tax benefit	233	219		192
DILUTED NET EARNINGS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	11,797	10,946		10,680
Net earnings from discontinued operations	_	1,790		2,756
NET EARNINGS	 11,797	12,736		13,436
			-	
Shares in millions; Years ended June 30	2011	2010		2009
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	2,804.0	2,900.8		2,952.2
Effect of dilutive securities				
Conversion of preferred shares ⁽¹⁾	128.5	134.0		139.2
Exercise of stock options and other unvested equity awards ⁽²⁾	69.4	64.5		62.7
DILUTED WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING	3,001.9	3,099.3		3,154.1

- (1) Despite being included currently in diluted net earnings per common share, the actual conversion to common stock occurs pursuant to the repayment of the ESOPs' obligations through 2035.
- (2) Approximately 93 million in 2011, 101 million in 2010 and 92 million in 2009 of the Company's outstanding stock options were not included in the diluted net earnings per share calculation because the options were out of the money or to do so would have been antidilutive (i.e., the total proceeds upon exercise would have exceeded the market value of the underlying common shares).

NOTE 7

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

We have stock-based compensation plans under which we annually grant stock option, restricted stock, restricted stock unit (RSU) and performance stock unit (PSU) awards to key managers and directors. Exercise prices on options granted have been, and continue to be, set equal to the market price of the underlying shares on the date of the grant. Since September 2002, the key manager stock option awards granted are vested after three years and have a 10-year life. The key manager stock option awards granted from July 1998 through August 2002 vested after three years and have a 15-year life. Key managers can elect to receive up to 50% of the value of their option award in RSUs. Key manager RSUs are vested and settled in shares of common stock five years from the grant date. The awards provided to the Company's directors are in the form of restricted stock and RSUs. In addition to our key manager and director grants, we make other minor stock option and RSU grants to employees for which the terms are not substantially different. In 2011, we implemented a performance stock program (PSP) and granted PSUs to senior level executives. Under this program, the number of PSUs that will vest three years after the respective grant date is based on the Company's performance relative to pre-established performance goals during that three year period.

A total of 180 million shares of common stock were authorized for issuance under stock-based compensation plans approved by shareholders in 2003 and 2009. The number of shares available for award under the 2009 plan includes the shares previously authorized but not awarded under the shareholder approved plan in 2001 and the shares available for issuance under a plan approved by Gillette shareholders in 2004. A total of 122 million shares remain available for grant under the 2003 and 2009 plans.

Total stock-based compensation expense for stock option grants was \$358, \$417 and \$460 for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Total compensation cost for restricted stock, RSUs and PSUs was \$56, \$36 and \$56 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for stock options, restricted stock, RSUs and PSUs was \$117, \$118 and \$137 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In calculating the compensation expense for stock options granted, we utilize a binomial lattice-based valuation model. Assumptions utilized in the model, which are evaluated and revised, as necessary, to reflect market conditions and experience, were as follows:

Years ended June 30	2011	2010	2009
Interest rate	0.3-3.7%	0.3-4.0%	0.7-3.8%

Weighted average interest rate	3.4%	3.7%	3.6%
Dividend yield	2.4%	2.2%	2.0%
Expected volatility	14-18%	15-20%	18-34%
Weighted average volatility	16%	18%	21%
Expected life in years	8.8	8.8	8.7

Amounts in millions of dollars except per share amounts or as otherwise specified.

Lattice-based option valuation models incorporate ranges of assumptions for inputs and those ranges are disclosed in the preceding table. Expected volatilities are based on a combination of historical volatility of our stock and implied volatilities of call options on our stock. We use historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination patterns within the valuation model. The expected life of options granted is derived from the output of the option valuation model and represents the average period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the options is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

A summary of options outstanding under the plans as of June 30, 2011, and activity during the year then ended is presented below:

Options in thousands	Options	Weighted Avg. Exercise Price	Weighted Avg. Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding, beginning of year	364,971	\$ 50.16	_	
Granted	29,141	62.85		
Exercised	(29,065)	42.55		
Canceled	(1,873)	57.81		
OUTSTANDING, END OF YEAR	363,174	 51.75	5.3	\$ 4,398
EXERCISABLE	271,096	49.69	4.2	3,837

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted was \$11.09, \$13.47 and \$11.67 per share in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$628, \$342 and \$434 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The total grant-date fair value of options that vested during 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$445, \$563 and \$537, respectively. We have no specific policy to repurchase common shares to mitigate the dilutive impact of options; however, we have historically made adequate discretionary purchases, based on cash availability, market trends and other factors, to satisfy stock option exercise activity.

At June 30, 2011, there was \$372 of compensation cost that has not yet been recognized related to stock option grants. That cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted average period of 1.8 years. At June 30, 2011, there was \$98 of compensation cost that has not yet been recognized related to restricted stock, RSUs and PSUs. That cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted average period of 3.0 years.

Cash received from options exercised was \$1,237, \$703 and \$639 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized for the tax deductions from option exercises totaled \$188, \$89 and \$146 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

NOTE 8

POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS AND EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLAN

We offer various postretirement benefits to our employees.

Defined Contribution Retirement Plans

We have defined contribution plans which cover the majority of our U.S. employees, as well as employees in certain other countries. These plans are fully funded. We generally make contributions to participants' accounts based on individual base salaries and years of service. Total global defined contribution expense was \$347, \$344 and \$364 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The primary U.S. defined contribution plan (the U.S. DC plan) comprises the majority of the balances and expense for the Company's defined contribution plans. For the U.S. DC plan, the contribution rate is set annually. Total contributions for this plan approximated 15% of total participants' annual wages and salaries in 2011, 2010 and 2009.

We maintain The Procter & Gamble Profit Sharing Trust (Trust) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) to provide a portion of the funding for the U.S. DC plan and other retiree benefits. Operating details of the ESOP are provided at the end of this Note. The fair value of the ESOP Series A shares allocated to participants reduces our cash contribution required to fund the U.S. DC plan.

Defined Benefit Retirement Plans and Other Retiree Benefits

We offer defined benefit retirement pension plans to certain employees. These benefits relate primarily to local plans outside the U.S. and, to a lesser extent, plans assumed in previous acquisitions covering U.S. employees.

We also provide certain other retiree benefits, primarily health care and life insurance, for the majority of our U.S. employees who become eligible for these benefits when they meet minimum age and service requirements. Generally, the health care plans require cost sharing with retirees and pay a stated percentage of expenses, reduced by deductibles and other coverages. These benefits are primarily funded by ESOP Series B shares and certain other assets contributed by the Company.

Amounts in millions of dollars except per share amounts or as otherwise specified.