



To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of JPMorgan Chase & Co.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its subsidiaries (the "Firm") at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Firm maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Firm's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying "Management's report on internal control over financial reporting." Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Firm's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk

that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

February 29, 2012

Notes to consolidated financial statements

Year ended December 31, 2009 (in millions)	Fair value, January 1, 2009	Actual return on plan assets		Purchases, sales and settlements, net	Transfers in and/or out of level 3	Fair value, December 31, 2009
		Realized gains/(losses)	Unrealized gains/(losses)			
U.S. defined benefit pension plans						
Equities	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Common/collective trust funds ^(a)	340	—	(56)	—	—	284
Limited partnerships:						
Hedge funds	553	—	136	(9)	—	680
Private equity	810	—	(1)	80	(15)	874
Real estate	203	—	(107)	100	—	196
Real assets	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total limited partnerships	1,566	—	28	171	(15)	1,750
Corporate debt securities	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other	315	—	19	—	—	334
Total U.S. plans	\$ 2,221	\$ —	\$ (9)	\$ 171	\$ (15)	\$ 2,368
Non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans						
Other	\$ 14	\$ —	\$ (1)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 13
Total non-U.S. plans	\$ 14	\$ —	\$ (1)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 13
OPEB plans						
COLI	\$ 1,126	\$ —	\$ 172	\$ (29)	\$ —	\$ 1,269
Total OPEB plans	\$ 1,126	\$ —	\$ 172	\$ (29)	\$ —	\$ 1,269

(a) The prior period has been revised to consider redemption notification periods in determining the classification of investments within the fair value hierarchy.

Estimated future benefit payments

The following table presents benefit payments expected to be paid, which include the effect of expected future service, for the years indicated. The OPEB medical and life insurance payments are net of expected retiree contributions.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	U.S. defined benefit pension plans	Non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans	OPEB before Medicare Part D subsidy	Medicare Part D subsidy
2012	\$ 1,038	\$ 95	\$ 96	\$ 11
2013	1,035	99	95	12
2014	610	101	94	13
2015	610	110	92	14
2016	613	116	90	14
Years 2017-2021	3,084	658	404	80

Note 10 - Employee stock-based incentives

Employee stock-based awards

In 2011, 2010 and 2009, JPMorgan Chase granted long-term stock-based awards to certain key employees under the 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "2005 Plan"). The 2005 Plan became effective on May 17, 2005, and was last amended in May 2011. Under the terms of the amended 2005 plan, as of December 31, 2011, 318 million shares of common stock are available for issuance through May 2015. The amended 2005 Plan is the only active plan under which the Firm is currently granting stock-based incentive awards. In the following discussion, the 2005 Plan, plus prior Firm plans and plans assumed as the result of acquisitions, are referred to collectively as the "LTI Plans," and such plans constitute the Firm's stock-based incentive plans.

Restricted stock units ("RSUs") are awarded at no cost to the recipient upon their grant. RSUs are generally granted annually and generally vest at a rate of 50% after two

years and 50% after three years and convert into shares of common stock at the vesting date. In addition, RSUs typically include full-career eligibility provisions, which allow employees to continue to vest upon voluntary termination, subject to post-employment and other restrictions based on age or service-related requirements. All of these awards are subject to forfeiture until vested and contain clawback provisions that may result in cancellation prior to vesting under certain specified circumstances. RSUs entitle the recipient to receive cash payments equivalent to any dividends paid on the underlying common stock during the period the RSUs are outstanding and, as such, are considered participating securities as discussed in Note 24 on page 277 of this Annual Report.

Under the LTI Plans, stock options and stock appreciation rights ("SARs") have generally been granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of JPMorgan Chase's common stock on the grant date. The Firm typically awards SARs to certain key employees once per year; the Firm also

periodically grants employee stock options and SARs to individual employees. The 2011, 2010 and 2009 grants of SARs to key employees vest ratably over five years (i.e., 20% per year) and contain clawback provisions similar to RSUs. The 2011 and 2010 grants of SARs contain full-career eligibility provisions; the 2009 grants of SARs do not include any full-career eligibility provisions. SARs generally expire 10 years after the grant date.

The Firm separately recognizes compensation expense for each tranche of each award as if it were a separate award with its own vesting date. Generally, for each tranche granted, compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis from the grant date until the vesting date of the respective tranche, provided that the employees will not become full-career eligible during the vesting period. For awards with full-career eligibility provisions and awards granted with no future substantive service requirement, the Firm accrues the estimated value of awards expected to be awarded to employees as of the grant date without giving consideration to the impact of post-employment restrictions. For each tranche granted to employees who will become full-career eligible during the vesting period, compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis from the grant date until the earlier of the employee's full-career eligibility date or the vesting date of the respective tranche.

The Firm's policy for issuing shares upon settlement of employee stock-based incentive awards is to issue either new shares of common stock or treasury shares. During 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Firm settled all of its employee stock-based awards by issuing treasury shares.

In January 2008, the Firm awarded to its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer up to 2 million SARs. The terms of this award are distinct from, and more restrictive than, other equity grants regularly awarded by the Firm. The SARs, which have a 10-year term, will become exercisable no earlier than January 22, 2013, and have an exercise price of \$39.83. The number of SARs that will become exercisable (ranging from none to the full 2 million) and their exercise date or dates may be determined by the Board of Directors based on an annual assessment of the performance of both the CEO and JPMorgan Chase. The Firm recognizes this award ratably over an assumed five-year service period, subject to a requirement to recognize changes in the fair value of the award through the grant date. The Firm recognized \$(4) million, \$4 million and \$9 million in compensation expense in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, for this award.

RSUs, employee stock options and SARs activity

Compensation expense for RSUs is measured based on the number of shares granted multiplied by the stock price at the grant date, and for employee stock options and SARs, is measured at the grant date using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Compensation expense for these awards is recognized in net income as described previously. The following table summarizes JPMorgan Chase's RSUs, employee stock options and SARs activity for 2011.

Year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands, except weighted-average data, and where otherwise stated)	RSUs		Options/SARs			
	Number of shares	Weighted-average grant date fair value	Number of awards	Weighted-average exercise price	Weighted-average remaining contractual life (in years)	Aggregate intrinsic value
Outstanding, January 1	234,121	\$ 30.45	234,527	\$ 43.33		
Granted	59,697	44.05	15,300	44.27		
Exercised or vested	(121,699)	26.95	(15,409)	32.27		
Forfeited	(5,488)	37.05	(4,168)	39.56		
Canceled	NA	NA	(74,489)	51.77		
Outstanding, December 31	166,631	\$ 37.65	155,761	\$ 40.58	4.6	\$ 419,887
Exercisable, December 31	NA	NA	106,335	41.89	3.1	260,309

The total fair value of RSUs that vested during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, was \$5.4 billion, \$2.3 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively. The weighted-average grant date per share fair value of stock options and SARs granted during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, was \$13.04, \$12.27 and \$8.24, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, was \$191 million, \$154 million and \$154 million, respectively.

Notes to consolidated financial statements

Compensation expense

The Firm recognized the following noncash compensation expense related to its various employee stock-based incentive plans in its Consolidated Statements of Income.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Cost of prior grants of RSUs and SARs that are amortized over their applicable vesting periods	\$ 1,986	\$ 2,479	\$ 2,510
Accrual of estimated costs of RSUs and SARs to be granted in future periods including those to full-career eligible employees	689	772	845
Total noncash compensation expense related to employee stock-based incentive plans	\$ 2,675	\$ 3,251	\$ 3,355

At December 31, 2011, approximately \$1.3 billion (pretax) of compensation cost related to unvested awards had not yet been charged to net income. That cost is expected to be amortized into compensation expense over a weighted-average period of 1.0 year. The Firm does not capitalize any compensation cost related to share-based compensation awards to employees.

Cash flows and tax benefits

Income tax benefits related to stock-based incentive arrangements recognized in the Firm's Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, were \$1.0 billion, \$1.3 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively.

The following table sets forth the cash received from the exercise of stock options under all stock-based incentive arrangements, and the actual income tax benefit realized related to tax deductions from the exercise of the stock options.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Cash received for options exercised	\$ 354	\$ 205	\$ 437
Tax benefit realized ^(a)	31	14	11

(a) The tax benefit realized from dividends or dividend equivalents paid on equity-classified share-based payment awards that are charged to retained earnings are recorded as an increase to additional paid-in capital and included in the pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies on share-based payment awards.

Valuation assumptions

The following table presents the assumptions used to value employee stock options and SARs granted during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, under the Black-Scholes valuation model.

Year ended December 31,	2011	2010	2009
Weighted-average annualized valuation assumptions			
Risk-free interest rate	2.58%	3.89%	2.33%
Expected dividend yield ^(a)	2.20	3.13	3.40
Expected common stock price volatility	34	37	56
Expected life (in years)	6.5	6.4	6.6

(a) In 2011, the expected dividend yield was determined using forward-looking assumptions. In 2010 and 2009 the expected dividend yield was determined using historical dividend yields.

The expected volatility assumption is derived from the implied volatility of JPMorgan Chase's stock options. The expected life assumption is an estimate of the length of time that an employee might hold an option or SAR before it is exercised or canceled, and the assumption is based on the Firm's historical experience.

Note 11 - Noninterest expense

The following table presents the components of noninterest expense.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Compensation expense ^(a)	\$ 29,037	\$ 28,124	\$ 26,928
Noncompensation expense:			
Occupancy expense	3,895	3,681	3,666
Technology, communications and equipment expense	4,947	4,684	4,624
Professional and outside services	7,482	6,767	6,232
Marketing	3,143	2,446	1,777
Other expense ^{(b)(c)}	13,559	14,558	7,594
Amortization of intangibles	848	936	1,050
Total noncompensation expense	33,874	33,072	24,943
Merger costs	—	—	481 ^(d)
Total noninterest expense	\$ 62,911	\$ 61,196	\$ 52,352

(a) Expense for 2010 includes a payroll tax expense related to the United Kingdom ("U.K.") Bank Payroll Tax on certain compensation awarded from December 9, 2009, to April 5, 2010, to relevant banking employees.

(b) Included litigation expense of \$4.9 billion, \$7.4 billion and \$161 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(c) Included foreclosed property expense of \$718 million, \$1.0 billion and \$1.4 billion for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(d) Total merger-related costs for the year ended December 31, 2009, were comprised of \$247 million in compensation costs, \$12 million in occupancy costs, and \$222 million in technology and communications and other costs.